

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tetrahedron Letters

Tetrahedron Letters 48 (2007) 4761–4764

## Synthesis of the C21–C28 segment of pectenotoxin-4

Robert V. Kolakowski and Lawrence J. Williams\*

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA

> Received 27 March 2007; revised 30 April 2007; accepted 30 April 2007 Available online 5 May 2007

Abstract—The synthesis of the C21–C28 segment of pectenotoxin-4 as the C21 Weinreb amide is described. Feasibility studies for the union of a related Weinreb amide and a functionalized alkyne are also reported. © 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

We were attracted to the synthetic challenges posed by macrolide pectenotoxin-4  $(1, Fig. 1)$  and its impressive, albeit only partially documented, biological profile.<sup>[1](#page-2-0)</sup> The pectenotoxins are a small but structurally imposing class of substances from isolates collected off the coast of Japan. These natural products were thought to originate from the pecten scallops. Subsequent investigations revealed that dinoflagellates, in this case Dinophysis fortii, within the shellfish are the source of these metabolites. Highlights of the biological profile include potent actin depolymerization activity<sup>[2](#page-2-0)</sup> and tumor cell repression<sup>[3](#page-2-0)</sup> in P53 deficient cells.<sup>[4](#page-2-0)</sup>



Figure 1. Pectenotoxin-4.

Keywords: Pectenotoxin; Tetrahydrofuran synthesis; Weinreb amide; Marshall cyclization.

\* Corresponding author. E-mail: [ljw@rutchem.rutgers.edu](mailto:ljw@rutchem.rutgers.edu)

0040-4039/\$ - see front matter © 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2007.04.148

Several routes toward the pectenotoxins have appeared, highlighted by the elegant total synthesis by Evans, et al.[5](#page-2-0) Ignoring for the present discussion the important issue of exact timing, we focused on an approach to the endgame that set as the goal the late-stage formation of the C20–C21 junction. A Weinreb amide–alkynylide coupling seems an attractive solution, owing to the anticipated reliability of such a union. To test the feasibility of this proposal, the coupling of a suitable C21– C28 fragment (2) with complex model alkynes of general structure 3 was examined (Fig. 1). Although any serious synthetic venture would have to coordinate creation of the 19 stereocenters, the  $C_{40}$  backbone, and formation of the eight oxygen heterocycles either ancillary to or subsumed within the 34-membered macrolide, we report here the synthesis of segment 2 and preliminary investigations related to a planned C1–C20/C21–C40 coupling.

The synthesis of 2, summarized in [Schemes 1–3](#page-1-0), commenced with the preparation of aldehyde 7. Implementation of the Jacobsen hydrolytic kinetic resolution of glycidol 4, [6](#page-3-0) followed by selective protection of the primary alcohol with pivaloyl chloride  $(\rightarrow 5)$ , gave a single product, as assessed by Mosher ester analysis (>95% ee).<sup>[7](#page-3-0)</sup> Protection of 5 using p-methoxybenzyl imidate<sup>[8](#page-3-0)</sup> gave 6 in an overall yield of 68%. Removal of the pivo-late followed by Swern oxidation<sup>[9](#page-3-0)</sup> provided differentially protected L-glyceraldehyde 7. This five-step sequence from commercial reagents proceeded in 52% overall yield. $10$ 

Alkyne 10 was prepared by alkylation of 8 with commercially available (TMS) propargyl bromide 9 (dr 9:1,  $63\%$ ).<sup>[11](#page-3-0)</sup> Cleavage of the oxazolidinone with lithium

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions:<sup>19</sup> (a)  $(R,R)$ -salen-Co(III)-OAc (0.49 equiv),  $H_2O$ ,  $Et_2O$ , rt, 18 h, 47%; (b) Piv-Cl (1 equiv), pyr (5 equiv),  $CH_2Cl_2$ ,  $0 °C$ –rt, 4 h, 94%; (c) PMBOC(=NH)CCl<sub>3</sub> (1.2 equiv), TfOH (0.01 mol %), Et<sub>2</sub>O, rt, 0.5 h, 77%; (d) DiBAl-H (1.5 equiv), hexanes,  $0^{\circ}$ C–rt, 4 h, 85%; (e) DMSO (4 equiv),  $(COCl)<sub>2</sub>$  $(2.2 \text{ equiv})$ , *i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>EtN  $(4.0 \text{ equiv})$ ,  $-78 \text{ °C}$ ,  $90\%$ .



Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions:<sup>[19](#page-3-0)</sup> (a) LiHMDS (1.2 equiv), THF,  $-78$  °C–rt, 4 h, 9:1 dr, 63%; (b) LiBH<sub>4</sub> (2.5 equiv), THF, 0 °C, 84%; (c) BnOC(=NH)CCl<sub>3</sub> (1.5 equiv), TfOH; (0.01 mol %), Et<sub>2</sub>O, rt, 1 h then MeOH,  $K<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  excess, 1 h, 86%.

borohydride followed by protection of the primary alco-hol with benzyl imidate<sup>[9](#page-3-0)</sup> and then in situ cleavage of the TMS group with MeOH and potassium carbonate provided 10. The yield of 10 from this three-step sequence was 46% overall.

The synthetic sequence to 2 from 7 and 10 is shown in Scheme 3. Initial evaluation of a Felkin–Anh type<sup>[12](#page-3-0)</sup> union of the titaniumisopropoxide alkynylide<sup>[13](#page-3-0)</sup> derived from 10 with aldehyde  $\overline{7}$  provided 11 in 1.5:1 dr and 53% yield.[14](#page-3-0) Use of the lithium alkynylide in THF and in the presence of 5 equiv of  $HMPA<sup>15</sup>$  $HMPA<sup>15</sup>$  $HMPA<sup>15</sup>$  offered only a modest improvement (dr 2:1, 62% yield). Carreira alkynylation of 7 with 10, however, proved significantly more efficient and selective  $(85\%, \text{ dr } 4:1).^{16a}$  The socalled matched amino alcohol ligand of the Carreira reaction has been shown to enhance selectivity of anti-Felkin–Anh addition to  $\alpha$ -siloxy aldehydes. Furthermore, and consistent with formation of 11, the antipodal amino alcohol ligand has been shown to override intrinsic selectivity and give Felkin–Ahn addition as the major product.<sup>16b</sup>

Addition of mesyl chloride and then excess methyl cuprate effected the single-flask conversion of 11 to allene 12 in an efficient (95%) and convenient procedure. Cleavage of the PMB ether with DDQ (88%) and then subjection of the resultant allenol to Marshall cyclization conditions provided dihydrofuran  $13$  in 93% yield.<sup>17</sup> Hydrogenation with concomitant hydrogenolysis of 13 (93%), protection of the primary alcohol with TBDPSCl, and then removal of the TBS group in the same flask gave 14 in 82% yield. Sequential oxidation of 14 to the carboxylic acid and then amidation gave 2. [Compound 2 characterization data.  ${}^{1}H$  NMR, 500 MHz (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) given as  $\delta$  (multiplicity, *J* in Hz; integration): 7.67 (d, 7.9; 4H), 7.40 (m; 6H), 4.73 (br s; 1H), 3.69 (s; 3H) 3.46 (dq, 6.6; 2H) 3.19 (s; 3H), 2.13 (br s; 2H), 1.83 (m; 1H), 1.76 (q, 8.0; 2H), 1.67 (dd, 6.9; 1H) 1.33 (m; 1H), 1.29 (s; 3H), 1.06 (s; 9H), 1.01 (d, 6.6; 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR, 100 MHz, (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  173.9, 135.8, 134.5, 129.7, 127.7, 85.6, 75.1, 69.8, 61.6, 44.1, 37.5, 32.8, 32.3, 29.0, 27.1, 26.4, 19.4, 18.9; ESI/MS calculated for  $NaC_{28}H_{41}NO_4Si$  (M+23) 506.28, found 506.3.]

Weinreb amide alkynylation has proven a reliable and convergent approach to establish new carbon connectiv-



**Scheme 3.** Reagents and conditions:<sup>19</sup> (a) 10 (1.2 equiv), TEA (1.2 equiv), Zn(OTf)<sub>2</sub> (1.1 equiv), (-)-N-methylephedrine (1.2 equiv), tol., rt, 85%, dr 4:1; (b) TEA (1.5 equiv), MsCl (1.5 equiv), Et<sub>2</sub>O,  $-78$  °C-rt, 1 h, then, Cu(Me)CNLi (5.0 equiv) in Et<sub>2</sub>O,  $-78$  °C-rt, 0.5 h, 95%; (c) DDQ (1.2 equiv), 1:1 CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>–PBS 7.8 pH, 88%; (d) AgNO<sub>3</sub> (1.1 equiv), CaCO<sub>3</sub> (2.0 equiv), 4:1 acetone–H<sub>2</sub>O, 93%; (e) 10 wt % Pd/C (0.05 equiv), EtOAc, 24 h, 93%; (f) (i) TEA (1.3 equiv), DMAP (0.1 equiv), TBDPSCl (1.5 equiv), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0 °C, (ii) solvent removal in vacuo then TFA–AcOH–H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 (v/v),  $0^{\circ}$ C, 1 h, 82%; (g) TPAP (0.1 equiv), NMO (2.0 equiv), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, rt, 1 h; (h) NaClO<sub>2</sub> (10 equiv), NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (20 equiv), 2-methyl-2-butene 2.0 M in THF (50 equiv), THF–t-BuOH–H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:4 (v/v) 89% (two steps). (i) IBCF (2.0 equiv), i-Pr<sub>2</sub>EtN (2.0 equiv), DMAP (0.1 equiv), THF, 0 °C, 0.5 h, then  $i$ -Pr<sub>2</sub>EtN (2.0 equiv), HCl·HN(CH<sub>3</sub>)OCH<sub>3</sub> (3.0 equiv), 1 h, 89%.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

## Scheme 4.

ity in the assembly of ynones late in a synthesis.[18](#page-3-0) We chose to evaluate in a model the viability of a Weinreb amide/alkyne coupling for the late-stage union of a C1–C20 fragment with a C21–C40 fragment (Scheme 4). Weinreb amide 2 served to model the C21–C40 pectenotoxin fragment and alkynes 16–18 served to model the C1–C20 fragment. The coupling was found to be effective (73–88%), even on small scale (5 mg). In each instance, the alkyne (THF, 0.2 M) was treated with base (1.0 equiv of 1.6 M *n*-BuLi in hexanes) at  $-78$  °C and the mixture was allowed to warm to  $0^{\circ}$ C. The alkynylide was then added dropwise to the Weinreb amide  $(0.2 M)$ , at  $-78 \text{ °C}$ . The final ratio of alkyne to amide in this study was 2:1. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over the course of 45 min and stirred until TLC analysis showed complete consumption of  $2$  (1 h). [Compound 21 characterization data. <sup>1</sup>H NMR, 500 MHz (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) given as  $\delta$  (multiplicity, *J* in Hz): 7.65 (d, 7.5; 4H), 7.39 (m, 6H), 7.20 (d, 8.8; 1H), 6.71 (dd, 8.2; 1H), 6.63 (d, 8.6; 1H), 4.39 (t, 8.1; 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.43 (m, 3H), 2.33 (m, 2H), 2.23 (m, 2H), 2.11 (m, 1H), 2.00 (t, 12.0H; 1H), 1.86 (m, 2.00), 1.78 (m, 5), 1.67 (m, 3), 1.46 (m, 4H), 1.36 (m, 1), 1.28 (s, 3H), 1.05 (s, 9H), 0.98 (m, 12H), 0.87 (s, 3H), 0.69 (m, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR, 100 MHz, (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ , 188.7, 157.7, 138.3, 135.8, 134.2, 132.8, 129.7, 127.8, 126.6, 114.0, 111.7, 99.7, 86.3, 84.5, 81.0, 69.7, 55.4, 49.4, 48.9, 43.8, 40.5, 40.4, 37.5, 32.9, 32.5, 30.9, 29.6, 27.5, 27.1, 26.6, 26.4, 23.4, 19.5, 19.0, 13.8, 7.2, 6.2 ESI/MS calcd for  $C_{53}H_{74}O_{5}Si_2$  (M+23) 869.5, found 869.4.] The high isolated yields of 19–21 augur well for C1–C20/C21–C40 coupling *en route* to the pectenotoxins.<sup>[18](#page-3-0)</sup>

## Acknowledgments

Generous financial support from Merck & Co., NIH (GM-078145), and Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey is gratefully acknowledged.

## References and notes

- 1. Yasumoto, T.; Murata, M.; Oshima, Y.; Sano, M.; Matsumoto, K. G.; Clardy, J. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 1019.
- 2. (a) Zhou, Z.-H.; Komiyama, M.; Terao, K.; Shimada, Y. Nat. Toxins 1994, 2, 132; (b) Leira, F.; Cabado, A. G.; Vieytes, M. R.; Roman, Y.; Alfonso, A.; Botana, L. M.; Yasumoto, T.; Malaguti, C.; Rossini, G. P. Biochem. Pharmacol. 2002, 63, 1979; Review: (c) Allingham, J. S.; Klenchin, V. A.; Rayment, I. Cell Mol. Life Sci. 2006, 63, 2119.
- 3. Hung, J. H.; Sim, C. J. J. Nat. Prod. 1995, 58, 1722.
- 4. Chae, H.-D.; Choi, T.-S.; Kim, B.-M.; Jung, J. H.; Bang, Y.-J.; Shin, D. Y. *Oncogene* 2005, 24, 4813.
- 5. Synthetic approaches: (a) Halim, R.; Brimble, M. A.; Merten, J. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 2659; (b) Bondar, D.; Liu, J.; Muller, T.; Paquette, L. A. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 1813; (c) Peng, X.; Bondar, D.; Paquette, L. A. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 9589; (d) Paquette, L. A.; Peng, X.; Bondar, D. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 937; (e) Micalizio, G. C.; Roush, W. R. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 1949; (f) Amano, S.; Fujiwara, K.; Murai, A. Synlett 1997, 1300; (g) Fujiwara, K.; Kobayashi, M.; Yamamoto, F.; Aki, Y.; Kawamura, M.; Awakura, D.; Amano, S.; Okano, A.; Murai, A.; Kawai, H.; Suzuki, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 5067; (h) O'Connor, P. D.; Knight, C. K.; Friedrich, D.; Peng, X.; Paquette, L. A. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 1747; (i) Lotesta, S. D.; Hou, Y.; Williams, L. J. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 869; (j) Pihko, P. M.;

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Aho, J. E. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 3849; (k) Vellucci, D.; Rychnovsky, S. D. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 711; Total synthesis: (l) Evans, D. A.; Rajapakse, H. A.; Stenkamp, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 4569; (m) Evans, D. A.; Rajapakse, H. A.; Chiu, A.; Stenkamp, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 4573.

- 6. (a) Tokunga, M.; Larrow, J. F.; Kakiuchi, F.; Jacobsen, E. N. Science 1997, 936, 277; (b) Furrow, M. E.; Schaus, S. E.; Jacobsen, E. N. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 6776.
- 7. Dale, J. A.; Dull, D. L.; Mosher, H. S. J. Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 2543.
- 8. Preparation of trichloroacetimidates: (a) Wessel, H.-P.; Iverson, T.; Bundle, D. R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1985, 2247; Hydroxyl protection: (b) Nakajima, N.; Horita, K.; Abe, R.; Yonemitsu, O. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 4139.
- 9. (a) Mancuso, A. J.; Huang, S.-L.; Swern, D. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2481; General procedure: (b) Fernández, M.; Tojo, G. Oxidation of Alcohol to Aldehydes and Ketones; Springer: New York, 2006; p 141.
- 10. Selective reduction of A proved to be an inferior alternative; treatment of A with DiBAl-H in toluene or sodium cyanoborohydride and TMSCl in acetonitrile gave a 1:1 mixture of regioisomers: (a) Takano, S.; Akiyama, M.; Sato, S.; Ogasawara, K. Chem. Lett. 1983, 1593; (b) Johansson, R.; Samuelsson, B. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1984, 2371.



11. Stereochemical assignment of the alkylation product is based on analogy to: (a) Evans, D. A.; Ennis, M. D.; Mathre, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 1737; (b) Lerm, M.; Gais, H.-J.; Cheng, K.; Vermeeren, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 9653.

- 12. (a) Cherest, M.; Felkin, H.; Prudent, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1968, 18, 2199; (b) Cherest, M.; Felkin, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1968, 18, 2205; (c) Anh, N. T.; Eisenstein, O. Nouv. J. Chim. 1977, 1, 61.
- 13. Shimizu, M.; Kawamoto, M.; Niwa, Y. Chem. Commun. 1999, 1151.
- 14. For a review of alkynylation of chiral aldehydes see: Guillarme, S.; Plé, K.; Banchet, A.; Liard, A.; Haudrechey, A. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 2355.
- 15. Bhupathy, M.; Cohen, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 2619.
- 16. (a) Frantz, D. E.; Fassler, R.; Carreira, E. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 1806; (b) El-Sayed, E.; Anand, N. K.; Carreira, E. M. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3017.
- 17. Marshall, J. A.; Pinney, K. G. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 7180.
- 18. (a) Nahm, S.; Weinreb, S. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 3815; (b) Marshall, J. A.; Yanik, M. M. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 1373; (c) Bourbeau, M. P.; Marshall, J. A. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3197; (d) Shimizu, T.; Kusaka, J.; Ishiyama, H.; Nakata, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 4695; (e) Lerm, M.; Gais, H.-J.; Cheng, K.; Vermeeren, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 9653; (f) Klein, M.; Zabel, M.; Bernhardt, G.; Burkhard, K. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 9379; (g) Shin, Y.; Fournier, J.-H.; Fukui, Y.; Bruckner, A. M.; Curran, P. D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 4634; (h) Rauhala, V.; Nattinen, K.; Rissanen, K.; Koskinen, A. M. P. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 4119; (i) Shimizu, T.; Satoh, T.; Murakoshi, K.; Sodeoka, M. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 5573; (j) Pan, Y.; De Brabander, J. K. Synlett 2006, 0853.
- 19. Acronyms and abbreviations: DDQ = dichloro dicyano quinone,  $DiBAI-H = diisobutylaluminum$  hydride,  $DMAP = 4-(N,N-Dimethylamino)$ pyridine,  $DMSO =$ dimethyl sulfoxide,  $EtOAc = ethyl$  acetate,  $LiHMDS =$ <br>lithium hexamethyldisilazane,  $MeOH =$  methanol, hexamethyldisilazane,  $MsCl = mesyl$  chloride,  $PivCl = pivaloyl$  chloride,  $TBDPSCl = tert-butyldiphenylsilyl chloride, TEA = tri$ ethylamine,  $TfOH = \text{trific acid}$ ,  $THF = \text{tetrahydrofuran}$ ,  $TPAP = tetrapropylammonium perruthenate.$